

FIRST - TIER MEETING DECEMBER 8, 2014 JACKSON HEALTH SYSTEM JHS West Wing 10th, 11th and 12th Floor Modernization ISD PROJECT NO. A14-JHS-01						COMPETITIVE SELECTION COMMITTEE					SUB-TOTAL	Average	Low Disparity	High Disparity	TOTAL QUALITATIVE POINTS	QUALITATIVE RANKING	TOTAL ORDINAL SCORES	ORDINAL RANKING	FINAL RANK	
TABULATION SHEET						David Clark, JHS	Jose Camero, JHS	Madeline Valdes, JHS	Lisa D'Andrea, CUA	Aida Bao-Garciga, MDAD										
	NAME OF FIRM(S)																			
1	RODRIGUEZ PETERSON & PORRAS ARCHITECTS, - LOCAL																			
1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)						40	35	37	33	40	185	37	25	49	356					
2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)						16	14	14	13	16	73	15	10	19						
3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)						16	14	13	12	17	72	14	10	19						
4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)						2	1	3	1	2	9	2	1	2						
5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)						4	3	4	2	4	17	3	2	5						
Ordinal Scores						78	67	71	61	79										
Dropped Scores						4	7	4	6	4							4	14	4	4
Tie-Breaker(TB) No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, & 6 / Criteria(CR) 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qualitative Points for Criteria 1A.																				
2	MARTIN A.D. YABOR & ASSOCIATES, INC. - LOCAL																			
1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)						32	30	29	28	35	154	31	21	41	336					
2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)						16	14	13	8	16	67	13	9	18						
3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)						16	15	15	10	16	72	14	10	19						
4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)						5	5	5	5	3	23	5	3	6						
5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)						4	4	5	3	4	20	4	3	5						
Ordinal Scores						73	68	67	54	74										
Dropped Scores						5	6	5	7	5							7	17	7	7
Tie-Breaker(TB) No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, & 6 / Criteria(CR) 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qualitative Points for Criteria 1A.																				
3	FERGUSON GLASGOW SCHUSTER SOTO, INC. - LOCAL																			
1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)						45	45	46	40	50	226	45	30	60	449					
2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)						18	18	18	18	20	92	18	12	24						
3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)						18	18	18	18	19	91	18	12	24						
4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)						3	3	3	4	3	16	3	2	4						
5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)						5	5	5	5	4	24	5	3	6						
Ordinal Scores						89	89	90	85	96										
Dropped Scores						1	1	1	2	1							1	3	1	1
Tie-Breaker(TB) No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, & 6 / Criteria(CR) 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qualitative Points for Criteria 1A.																				
4	NAYA ARCHITECTS - LOCAL																			
1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)						40	40	39	38	40	197	39	26	52	408					
2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)						18	18	17	18	16	87	17	12	23						
3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)						17	16	11	18	18	80	16	11	21						
4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)						5	5	5	5	4	24	5	3	6						
5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)						4	4	3	5	4	20	4	3	5						
Ordinal Scores						84	83	75	84	82										
Dropped Scores						2	2	2	3	3							2	7	2	2
Tie-Breaker(TB) No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, & 6 / Criteria(CR) 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qualitative Points for Criteria 1A.																				
5	CMF INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC. - LOCAL																			
1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)						35	35	25	33	35	163	33	22	43	341					
2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)						14	14	13	12	14	67	13	9	18						
3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)						17	16	13	13	18	77	15	10	20						
4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)						3	3	3	4	3	16	3	2	4						
5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)						3	4	3	4	4	18	4	2	5						
Ordinal Scores						72	72	57	66	74										
Dropped Scores						6	4	7	4	5							5	16	5	5
Tie-Breaker(TB) No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, & 6 / Criteria(CR) 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qualitative Points for Criteria 1A.																				
6	PROTEK ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING, INC. - LOCAL																			
1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)						25	25	20	10	25	105	21	14	28	242					
2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)						10	8	7	5	10	40	8	5	11						
3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)						12	12	13	5	15	57	11	8	15						
4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)						5	5	4	4	4	22	4	3	6						
5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)						3	5	4	2	4	18	4	2	5						
Ordinal Scores						55	55	48	26	58										
Dropped Scores						8	8	8	8	8							8	24	8	8
Tie-Breaker(TB) No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, & 6 / Criteria(CR) 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qualitative Points for Criteria 1A.																				
7	KVH ARCHITECTS, P.A. - LOCAL																			
1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)						40	40	37	30	45	192	38	26	51	378					
2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)						14	15	12	15	18	74	15	10	20						
3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)						16	15	15	12	15	73	15	10	19						
4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)						4	4	4	4	3	19	4	3	5						
5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)						5	5	4	2	4	20	4	3	5						
Ordinal Scores						79	79	72	63	85										
Dropped Scores						3	3	3	5	2							3	9	3	3
Tie-Breaker(TB) No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, & 6 / Criteria(CR) 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qualitative Points for Criteria 1A.																				
8	DN'A DESIGN AND ARCHITECTURE, INC. - LOCAL																			
1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)						32	32	30	42	40	176	35	24	47	365					
2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)						14	15	10	18	14	71	14	10	19						
3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)						16	17	19	17	12	81	16	11	22						
4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)						2	2	3	5	2	14	3	2	4						
5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)						4	5	5	5	4	23	5	3	6						
Ordinal Scores						68	71	67	87	72										
Dropped Scores						7	5	5	1	7							6	17	6	6
Tie-Breaker(TB) No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, & 6 / Criteria(CR) 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qualitative Points for Criteria 1A.								5												